



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,826	08/20/2003	Spencer B. Dick	PAI 310	7661
23581	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. 520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET			BAHTA, KIDEST	
SUITE 200	WITHEL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		2125	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,826	DICK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kidest Bahta	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the set of the set of the set of the maximum statutory perions. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a receptly within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	December 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to I	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignation All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\□ 1.4		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/04. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1031.

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blaine et al. (U.S. Patent 5,444,635) in view of Caron et al. (U.S. Patent 6,690,990).

Regarding claim 1, Blaine discloses providing a material list for a product (Fig. 3A, element 70); loading the material list into a job manager (column 7, lines 13-21); moving the material list into a spreadsheet (Fig. 9 - Fig. 14), inputting data to the optimizer indicating location of defects in the wood material 9column 2, lines 30-40); determining a processing plan for the wood material include, excluded the defects (column 5, lines 6-15) and optimizing use of the remaining material according to the selected field of data (column 6, lines 54-58; column 7, lines 39-40); operating a saw to cut stock material according to data received by the optimizer (Fig. 3B).

Blaine fails to disclose selecting a field in the spreadsheet; downloading the selected field of data to an optimizer; and selecting a piece of wood material for processing; editing data in the selected field prior to the downloading step; the material list includes a cut list of wood dimensions for a product; a mathematical function on selected data in the spreadsheet prior to the downloading step.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,826

Art Unit: 2125

However; Caron discloses selecting a field in the spreadsheet (Fig. 9 and Fig. 15), downloading the selected field of data to an optimizer (Fig. 10; column 10,lines 46-60), and selecting a piece of wood material for processing (column 8, lines 19-42, Fig. 3-Fig. 5b); editing data in the selected field prior to the downloading step (column 11, lines 35-38; i.e., download simulation file ... updated data before leaving the session); the material list includes a cut list of wood dimensions for a product (Fig. 9); a mathematical function on selected data in the spreadsheet prior to the downloading step (column 13, lines 15-45).

Response to Amendment/Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/645,826

Art Unit: 2125

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from examiner

should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3737. If

attempts to reach examiner by phone fail, examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be

reached (571) 272-3749. Additionally, fax phones for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application should be directed

to group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta

March 9, 2005